Conformity of Production Testing for Particle Traps - a Model Experiment Kasper. M., Mosimann. T.

Currently, Type Approval of new DPF systems is covered by acknowledged standards, such as the VERT protocol or the CARB certification procedure. While Type Approval demonstrates the quality of a single product sample (or a very limited number), it remains the manufacturer's responsibility to ensure that mass-produced units have - within narrow limits - exactly the same properties as the approved specimen. The conformity of DPF substrates with their Approved Type is best demonstrated with a measurement of particle precipitation efficiency.

For this purpose, a model set-up for conformity of production (CoP) testing was realised, consisting of a soot particle generator and several particle measuring instruments; two light-duty particle traps were mounted onto this model test rig and characterised. Measurements were carried out before and after DPF regeneration; then, holes were drilled into the plugged filter channels to simulate defects.

Particle concentrations downstream of the DPF units were found to be far below ambient concentrations as long as the filters were intact. After regeneration, particle emissions were observed to shortly increase by approximately two orders of magnitude, but they returned to low levels within a few minutes. With a defect of less than 1 mm2 in place, the filtration efficiency dropped from >99.995% to ~99%, further dropping as the size of the defect was increased, but independent of exhaust gas flow direction.

The model experiment demonstrates the applicability of particle based measuring methods to the challenge of CoP testing for DPF substrates.



Conformity of Production Testing for Particle Traps - a Model Experiment

Markus Kasper and Thomas Mosimann Matter Engineering AG, Wohlen, Switzerland

background

- DPF type approval established (e.g. VERT) DPF market and production grow fast quality control at end of production needed conformity of production with approved specimen requ (e.g., 2007 FOEN Filter List)
- CoP testing should use diesel-like particles particle measurement must be reliable even at post-DPF
- concentrations CoP method must be simple, reproducible, reliable

CoP test set-up

- REXS soot generator
 - MD19-2E diluter
 SMPS, DiSC, PAS, DC

 - DPF samples





Diffusion Size Classifier - DiSC

- on-line measurement of number and size size range 10-300 nm portable, 10 hrs battery operation USB or bluetooth connectivity

results - DPF leaky · leaky DPF: defect simulated by one 1 mm hole particle penetration > 0.1% leaky DPF: defect simulated by four 1 mm holes exhaust flow direction reversed particle penetration > 0.5 %

vledgr

This case study was financially supported by the AKPF - Association of Filter Manufacturers, www.akpf.org

2.0E+ 1.0E

No defect 1 defect channel 2 defect channels 6 defect channels

The authors further wish to express their gratitude to Mr. Mayer, TTM, for many fruitful discussions

summary

- CoP testing possible with compact set-up
- typical DPF penetration < 0.001%
- measurable with nanoparticle (standard) equipment
- even small defects increase penetration significantly
- particle penetration increases almost linearly with leak cross section
- no effect of leak location in DPF sample
- distinction of sma llest defects in new DPF substrate?









ulator - REXS ducible EXhaust S

results - DPF ok

- combustion soot generator 1.5 g/h @ 80 nm / 700 l/min 65 / 80 / 100 nm mode
- backpressure proof up to 500 mbar





