Filtration of nanoparticles: evolution of cake-structure and pressure-drop

<u>Tobias D. Elmøe</u>, Antonio Tricoli, Jan-Dierk Grunwaldt¹, Sotiris E. Pratsinis

Particle Technology Laboratory, Department of Mechanical and Process Engineering, ETH Zürich 1) Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark



13th ETH conference on combustion generated nanoparticles

Nanoparticle filtration

- Removal of wanted or unwanted particles
 - E.g. Flame-synthesized catalytic nanoparticles or diesel soot from engines
- Pressure-drop build-up is major parameter
 - Too high ΔP may halt or damage the engine [1]
 - Affects fuel economy
 - Highly related to structure of filter deposit (cake)

Setten et al., (2001), Catalysis Reviews, 43(4), 489-564

Cake formation

Filtration of nanoparticles

Highly porous cake : > 95 % [1,2]

Low penetration into substrate even for particles much smaller than the capillary

Analogous to thermophoretic deposition onto non-porous substrates

Structure determined by Pe [3,4]

Ballistic limit (85% porosity / 15 % **solid volume fraction**, ϕ_{sd}) reached above Pe > 10

At Pe < 10, ϕ_{sd} = f(Pe)

$$Pe = \frac{d_p \cdot U}{2D}$$

d_p: Particle diameter U: Approach velocity D: Particle diffusion coefficient

[1] Andersen, S. K., Johannessen, T., Mosleh, M., Wedel, S., Tranto, J. and Livbjerg, H., (2002), J. Nanopart. Res., 4(5), 405-416

[2] Elmøe, T.D., (2008), PhD Thesis, Department of Chemical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby

[3] Mädler, L., Lall, A. A. And Friedlander, S. K., (2006), *Nanotechnology*, 17(19), 4783-4795

[4] Rodríguez-Pérez, D., Castillo, J. L, and Antoranz, J. C., Phys. Rev. E., 72(2), 021403-1 - 021403-9

Filtration regimes



Japuntich, D. A., Stenhouse, J. I. T., and Liu, B. Y. H., (1994), *J. Aerosol Sci.*, 25(2), 385-393
 Spurny, K.R., Lodge, J.P., Frank, E.R. And Sheesley, D.C., (1969), *Env. Sci. Technol.*, 3(5), 453-464
 Davies, C.N., (1970), *Aerosol Sci.*, 1, 35-39
 Kirsch, V. A., (1998), *Kolloidn. Zh.*, 60(4), 480-484.
 Hinds, W. C. and Kadrichu, N. P., (1997), *Aerosol Sci. Technol.*, 27(2), 162-173.

Our approach

Particle (spherical, monodisperse) tracking by Langevin dynamics

Deposition one-at-a-time [1]

Irreversible deposition

No deposition on surface between pores (~ high porosity)



[1] Tassoupolos, M., Obrien, J. A., and Rosner D. E., (1989), *AiChe J.*, 35(6), 967-980

Results

Solid volume fraction profile

Clogging time, t_{cl}

Time until $F_{c,i} = 0$

Filtration efficiency η(t)

 $\eta = 1-F_{c,o}$

<u>Pressure-drop</u> $\Delta P(t)$

From $\phi_{sd}(z)$



Structural evolution at Pe = 1



[1] Johannessen, T., Jensen, J. R., Mosleh, M., Johansen, J., Quaade, U. And Livbjerg, H., (2004), Chem. Eng. Res. Des., 82(A11), 1444-1452

Solid volume fraction evolution



8

Onset of cake formation



Structures at t_{cl} vs Pe



Cake $\phi_{\text{sd,c}}$ and clogging time t_{cl}



24.6.2009

Estimation of clogging time



Comparison to filtration theory



Conclusions

- Full transition between capillary and cake filtration studied by first principles
- Deposition focused near capillary inlet
- Capillary clogging followed by cake growth
 - Characterized by the clogging time t_{cl}
 - Constant solid volume fraction $\phi_{sd,c}$ function of Pe
 - Pressure-drop evolution in agreement with cake filtration theory
- Simple correlation derived between process parameters, clogging time and cake solid volume fraction



Acknowledgements

- ETH Zürich for use of Gonzales HPC Cluster
- Financial support by The Danish Council of Technological Research, Nanoprim and CCMX-Nancer

Functional nanoparticle films

Applications:

Membrane filters (Andersen et al., 2002)

Catalysis (Thybo et al., 2004)

Fuel cells (Chakraborty et al., 2005)

Gas sensors (Mädler et al., 2006a)

Andersen, S. K., Johannessen, T., Mosleh, M., Wedel, S., Tranto, J. and Livbjerg, H., (2002), *J. Nanopart. Res.*, 4(5), 405-416

Thybo, S., Jensen, S., Johansen, J., Johannessen, T., Hansen, O. And Quaade, U. J., (2004), *J. Catal.*, 223(2), 271-277

Chakraborty, D., Bischoff, H., Chorkendorff, I. And Johannessen, T., (2005), *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 152(12), A2357-A2363

Mädler, L., Roessler, A., Pratsinis, S. E., Sahm, T., Gurlo, A., Barsan, N. and Weimar, U., (2006a), *Sens. Actuators, B.*, 114(1), 283-295





Solid volume fraction evolution at low Pe



Tobias D. Elmøe/Particle Technology Laboratory/elmoe@ptl.mavt.ethz.ch





Compressible flow

$$\Delta P = P_0 - \sqrt{P_0^2 - \frac{16Q_0P_0\mu}{\pi} \int_0^{\delta(t)} \frac{dz}{8(R_c^2 - R_o(t,z)^2)B_0(t,z) + R_o(t,z)^4}}$$

Valid both inside and outside capillary!

 $R_o = R_c \rightarrow$ Poiseuille's law

R_o: Radius of open region

R_c: Initial capillary radius

 $\delta(t)$: thickness of deposit

 P_0 : Inlet pressure (1 bar)

Q₀: Flow at P₀

μ: Viscosity

 $B_0(z)$: Darcy permeability

However, above the deposit, when $R_o = R_c$ we set dP/dz = 0

Compressible flow (cont.)

At <u>cake growth</u> (t > t_{cl}, clogging) $R_o \rightarrow 0$



Before clogging

<u>Cake growth (cont.)</u> : $R_o = 0$ and $\eta \rightarrow 1$ (all particles filtered)



Pressure-drop model comparison 1



Tobias D. Elmøe/Particle Technology Laboratory/elmoe@ptl.mavt.ethz.ch

Pressure-drop model comparison 2



$\phi_{\text{sd,c}}$: Influence of polydispersity



Agglomerate deposition





Langevin dynamics

Equation of motion

$$m\dot{\mathbf{v}} = -f(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}) + \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{X}$$

- ${m m}$ Particle mass ${f \dot v}$ Particle acceleration
- V Particle velocity W Fluid velocity
- **F** External forces **X** Brownian force

Friction coefficient f = $3\pi\mu d_p/C_c(d_p)$

Calculation of pressure-drop

Pressure drop in capillary: Hagen-Poiseulle equation

Pressure-drop in cake before clogging:

Modified D'Arcy's law

Pressure-drop in cake:

D'Arcy's law



Pressure drop in capillary

Basis: Hagen-Poiseuille

Assumptions - in a slice dz:

- Particle deposition decreases effective capillary size (Spurny et al., 1969; Fan and Gentry, 1978)
- Deposited layer << permeable than open part of capillary

$$P\frac{dP}{dz} = -\frac{8\mu UP_0}{\left(1 - \phi_{sd}\left(t, z\right)\right)^2 R_c^2}$$

- P : Pressure (Pa)
- z : Depth (m)
- U : Face velocity (m/s)
- P₀: Inlet pressure (101325 Pa)
- R_c: Capillary radius (μm)
- μ : Gas viscosity (kg/ms)
- \$\ophi_{sd}(t,z)\$: Solid volume fraction of deposit at pos. "z" and time "t".

Darcy permeability

Basis: Darcy's law

Assumption - in a slice dz:

Application of an effective permeability

Effective pore size \rightarrow

Effective permeability

(Jackson, 1977)

$$B_0(t,z) = \frac{d_p^2}{72} \frac{(1 - \phi_{sd}(t,z))^3}{\phi_{sd}^2(t,z)}$$

Particle size, d_p , Solid volume fraction, $\phi_{sd} \rightarrow$ Effective pore size, D_c , (Ergun and Orning, 1949)

$$\frac{D_{c}(t,z)}{d_{p}} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{1 - \phi_{sd}(t,z)}{\phi_{sd}(t,z)}$$



Simplified clog model 1



Simplified clog model 2



Estimation of clogging time



Clogging height

Growth of cone



Mass-balance: shaded area



 $\delta_{cl} = \frac{UC_0 v_p}{\phi_{sd,c}} t_{cl} \Longrightarrow$

 $\pi(R_c^2 - R_o^2)\Delta z \cdot \phi_{sd,c}$

Volume increase of cone

time

Height of cone



Pe = 10

Evolution of filtration efficiency



Nanoparticle filtration 2

- Formation of filter cake
 - Effect of varying filtration rate, filter geometry, particle/aggregate morphology on time for formation of filter cake (clogging time, t_{cl}) and cake solid volume fraction ($\phi_{sd,c}$)
- Optimization of filtration efficiency η
 Reduction of pressure-drop ΔP

Outline

- Filtration theory
- Our approach
- Evolution of cake structure and pressure drop
- Conclusions