# 15<sup>th</sup> ETH-Conference on Combustion Generated Nanoparticles

### Calculation and Interpretation of Cloud Peak Supersaturations at the High Alpine Site Jungfraujoch

Emanuel Hammer<sup>1,2</sup>, Zsófia Jurányi<sup>2</sup>, Nicolas Bukowiecki<sup>2</sup>, Ernest Weingartner<sup>2</sup>, Martin Gysel<sup>2</sup>, Johanna Spiegel<sup>3</sup>, Werner Eugster<sup>3</sup> & Urs Baltensperger<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Berne, Switzerland <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institut, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zurich, Switzerland

### 1. Introduction

Aerosols influence radiative forcing through scattering directly and absorption of solar and infrared radiation in the atmosphere but also indirectly by modifying the properties of clouds. However, climate models still suffer from large uncertainties inferred by important aerosols. An aerosol parameter is the critical supersaturation (supersaturation where the particle forms a cloud droplet), which is dependent on its dry size and chemical composition. The highest supersaturation that a particle in a cloud has experienced is the so-called peak supersaturation (SS<sub>p</sub>). To date, no measurement device is available that is able to measure this value within cloud. Thus. the cloud peak a supersaturation has to be retrieved indirectly from other parameters. During summer 2010 a Cloud and Aerosol Characterization experiment (CLACE2010) has been conducted at the high alpine research station Jungfraujoch (3580 m a.s.l., Switzerland).

# 2. Theory

The relationship between equilibrium RHand the size of a solution droplet can be described by the Köhler equation. If the  $\kappa$ water activity parameterization is used (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007), the equation takes the following form:

$$RH = \frac{D^3 - D_0^3}{D^3 - (1 - \kappa)D_0^3} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{4M_w\sigma_{sol}}{RT\rho_wD}\right)$$

Equation 1

where *D* and  $D_0$  are the droplet and the corresponding dry diameter.  $M_w$  shows the molar mass of water,  $\sigma_{sol}$  is the surface tension of the solute, *R* is the ideal gas constant, *T* the surrounding temperature and  $\rho_w$  is the density of the water. The  $\kappa$  parameter is the semiempirical hygroscopicity parameter, which takes values between 0 (nonhygroscopic but wettable) and ~1.3 (most hygroscopic salts) for atmospheric aerosols. The maximum of Eq. 1 is the critical saturation ratio which can be searched numerically if  $\kappa$  is known.

### 3. Methods

Aerosols were sampled with two different inlets. The total inlet collected hydrometeors and interstitial (nonactivated) aerosol particles: the interstitial inlet collected only the interstitial aerosol particles (up to a size of 2 µm). Two scanning mobility particle sizers (SMPS) were connected to these inlets to measure the respective aerosol size distributions simultaneously. The diameter where 50% of the particles are activated as cloud droplets is called activation diameter,  $D_{50}$ . The ambient activation diameter  $D_{50,amb}$  can be retrieved from the difference of the total and interstitial number size distributions. To determine  $SS_p$  one has to link  $D_{50,amb}$ with measurements of supersaturation dependent CCN activity. This has been done through the  $\kappa$  parameter (in order to be able to correct for the temperature effects) using the activation diameter climatology from Jurányi et al., 2007. Since  $D_{50}$  for a given SS remained nearly constant over the whole 17 months measurement period, the assumption of extrapolating the results of the climatology study at another time seems to be reasonable.

# 4. Results

The calculated  $SS_p$  values covered a wide range between 0.12 % and 2.12 % during the campaign (from June 19 to August 13). While air masses coming from the north  $(270^{\circ} < horizontal wind$ direction  $<90^{\circ}$ ) showed values in a quite wide range,  $SS_p$  related to air masses coming from the south  $(130^{\circ})$ < horizontal wind direction  $< 230^{\circ}$ ) was rather constant around 0.16%. This can be most likely explained by the difference in the topography between south and north of the Jungfraujoch. While the south side of the Jungfraujoch has a rather smooth topography (Aletsch glacier), resulting in relatively low updraft velocities, the north side is characterized by steep rock walls, with more turbulent wind conditions and high updraft velocities. This result is in quite good agreement with the findings of Verheggen et al., 2007. Since air masses coming from the south are more polluted, the available water vapor could be distributed faster to the particles and thus  $SS_p$  remains on a low level. The median values of the total particle concentration for south and north during CLACE2010 are  $N_{tot,south}$ =771 cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $N_{tot,north}$ =279 cm<sup>-3</sup>.

Another possibility could be that from south only advection clouds are possible and thus  $SS_p$  is low due to expected small updraft velocity w. This issue will be investigated in detail during further measurement campaigns (i.e. summer 2011).

# 5. Conclusions and Outlook

It has been found that effective peak supersaturations at the Jungfraujoch have a mean value of 0.56 % and a median value of 0.46 %. Considering origin of air parcels during cloud events, when air is coming from south, median  $SS_p$  value of ~0.16 % was found. Air parcels coming from the north show a wider and higher range of calculated  $SS_p$ values. When distinguishing between convective and advective clouds it was observed that the former type corresponds well to increases in updraft velocity and  $SS_p$ . With data from the Windprofiler and the Lidar the separation between these two cloud types may improve. Further knowledge on the location of the activation of aerosols could be gained with these devices. It is expected that aerosols in convective clouds activated just before reaching the Jungfraujoch but aerosols within advection clouds can activate already at lower altitudes.

# 6. Acknowledgements

We acknowledge that the International Foundation High Altitude Research Stations Jungfraujoch and Gornergrat (HFSJG), 3012 Bern, Switzerland, made it possible for us to carry out our experiments at the High Altitude Research Station at Jungfraujoch.

### 7. References

- Z. Jurányi *et al.*, A 17 month climatology of the cloud condensation nuclei number concentration at the high alpine site Jungfraujoch, *J. Geophys. Res.* **116**(2007), p. D10204.
- M.D. Petters and S.M. Kreidenweis, A single parameter representation of hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **7**(2007), pp. 1961-1971.
- B. Verheggen *et al.*, Aerosol partitioning between the interstitial and the condensed phase in mixed-phase clouds, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* **112**(2007).



# **Calculation and Interpretation of Cloud Peak** Supersaturations at the High Alpine Site Jungfraujoch

Emanuel Hammer<sup>1,2</sup>, Zsófia Jurányi<sup>2</sup>, Nicolas Bukowiecki<sup>2</sup>, Ernest Weingartner<sup>2</sup>, Martin Gysel<sup>2</sup>, Johanna Spiegel<sup>3</sup>, Werner Eugster<sup>3</sup> & Urs Baltensperger<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Berne, Switzerland <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institut, Switzerland <sup>3</sup>Institute for Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zurich, Switzerland

UNIVERSITÄT BERN ETH

### Introduction

Uncertainties from the contribution to the radiative forcing by aerosols are much higher than for the greenhouse gases. With improving knowledge about the indirect aerosol effect, more reliable climate projections can be provided.



Measurements has been conducted at the high-alpine research station (JFJ, Jungfraujoch 3580 m asl). Switzerland under the Global Atmosphere Watch (GAW) program. The Fig. 1: Research station at measurement campaign was focused on

the high-alpine site JFJ determining peak supersaturations  $(SS_{\text{p}})$  with ambient  $N_{\text{CCN}}$  measurements. The campaign CLACE2010 (Cloud and Aerosol Characterization Experiment)

was performed during three months in summer. Instruments

- Two Scanning Mobility Particle sizers (SMPS): separate number size distribution measurement of all particles and of those that did not form cloud droplets
- · Particle Volume Monitor (PVM): outdoor measurement of the liquid water content of the clouds
- A Rosemount Anemometer (provided by MeteoSwiss): outdoor measurement of the regional wind directions

### Theory

### Activation of Aerosol Particles in Warm Clouds

The supersaturation (SS) over a solution droplet is described by the Köhler theory. A particles critical SS (SS where the particle form a cloud droplet) is dependent on its size and chemical composition. The highest supersaturation that a particle in a cloud has experienced is the so-called peak SS  $(SS_{D}).$ 

### Activation Diameter (D<sub>50</sub>)



- characteristic diameter
- particles larger than D<sub>50</sub> will activate
- SS dependent
- can be calculated from the size distribution and the cloud condensation nuclei number concentration (N<sub>CCN</sub>)

Fig. 2: Aerosol size distribution. assumption: Aerosol is internally mixed

### **Methods**



Jurányi, Z., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Bukowiecki, N., Kammermann, L. and Baltensperger, U (2011) J. Geophys. Res., submitted

### Results

### Effective Peak Supersaturation (SS<sub>p</sub>)

- SS<sub>n</sub> values cover a wide range  $\rightarrow$  no certain SS<sub>p</sub> value characterizes the formation of clouds at the Jungfraujoch
- during the campaign mean  $SS_{\rm p}$  value of 0.56% and median value of 0.46% during stable clouds periods
- the range of SSp values during liquid clouds was between 0.12% and 2.12%



Fig. 4: Liquid water content (LWC) of clouds is shown with air temperature (upper panel). Calculated peak supersaturations (SSp) only during liquid clouds are shown with updraft velocities (lower panel)

### SS<sub>p</sub> Dependence on Regional Wind Direction (dd)



- SS<sub>p</sub> values when the air-mass comes from north (270°-90°) or south (130°-230°):
- higher SS<sub>p</sub> values from north
- higher variability of the SS<sub>p</sub> values from north
- SS<sub>n</sub> values are fairly constant (~0.16%) when air comes from the south
- probable explanation: geographical situation of the Jungfraujoch (steep drop towards north, slow height decrease towards south)

direction

# **Conclusion & Outlook**

- A decrease in air temperature has been found for almost every cloud period measured during CLACE 2010
- > SS<sub>n</sub> values are in quite good agreement with results from earlier studies where laboratory measurements have been applied to outdoor conditions
- Lower SS<sub>p</sub> values with a narrower range observed when air comes from south compared to air coming from north.

### Outlook

> ongoing data analysis attempts to establish a link between the retrieved  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{SS}_{\mathsf{p}}}$  values and other parameters like temperature, updraft velocity and weather situation (i.e. cloud type)

Acknowledgement

We thank the International Foundation High Altitude Research Stations Jungfraujoch and Gornergrat (HFSJG) for the opportunity to perform experiments on the Jungfraujoch This project was funded by Meteo Swiss (GAWplus)