

# Validation of Novel Light Scattering Sensors for Soot Opacity and Soot Mass

A.Nowak

M. Hildebrandt, G. Lindner, A. Jordan-Gerkens, A. Kuntze, S. Pratzler, N. Böse, V. Ebert

### **Outline of presentation**



2

- Legal bases and framework for soot opacity
  - In Europe and Germany
- Research project
  - Challenges and objectives
  - New method for measurement of soot opacity and soot mass concentration
  - Experimental setup for validation and special dilution system
- Results of research project
  - Correlation for high soot concentration
  - Correlation for low soot concentration
  - Conclusion

## Legal Bases in Europe for Opacity



### **Periodical emission control of vehicles**

- European Commission Directive 2010/48/EU
- > Vehicles with type approval since 2006: On-Board-Diagnostic
- > Older vehicles: regular particle emission controlled via opacimeter



Measuring the opacity N (in %) or the light absorption coefficient k ( $m^{-1}$ )



# Framework for vehicle inspection in Germany

- > Type approval of opacimeters required verification ordinance 18.9 at PTB
- Traceability of reference opacimeter to the SI via optical filters that are calibrated at PTB
- > Verification in the field via calibrated optical filters





Points of criticism since years:

- > Insufficient resolution of type approved opacimeter
- $k = 0.5 (\pm 0.3)$  as lower limit is too high in contrast to the regular motor type approval (k < 0.3)
- > No signal when measuring exhaust of modern diesel engines with particle filters

# **Research project with ASA<sup>1</sup>-association**

Project duration: 3 years (finished in Nov.2013)

> Goal:

 Development of a metrological background for future type approvals of new soot sensors based on light scattering

#### Challenges:

- German verification act requires devices to measure the opacity and the light absorption coefficient
- Indication on the screen of devices must be k in m<sup>-1</sup> or N in %
- Verification method in the field should be similar to transmission filters (easy to use, traceable)



Bundesverband der Hersteller und Importeure von Automobil-Service Ausrüstungen e.V.

## **PTB – ASA Research cooperation**

#### **Objectives**

>

Traceable and improved correlation between soot opacity and soot mass concentration are needed for the novel devices  $\rightarrow$  experimental validation!



Investigate if a general correlation between the soot opacity and the soot mass concentration

- for different particle sizes / particle number size distributions
- for different light scattering sensor types
- for improved uncertainty

### PTB-ASA research cooperation New method for particle measurement: light scattering



### Basic physical concept :

- The Mie Theory for light scattering
  - Light is scattered by small particles
  - Scattered light intensity and angle distribution depends on the sensor angle between laser beam and detector
  - Detected signal of sensors depends on particle size, shape and number concentration



*Typically, higher sensitivity than opacimeter at low particle concentrations* 17th ETH, CCGN, Andreas Nowak

17.07.2013

## Experimental Setup for Research Cooperation **PB**



# Special Dilution System – CFD Simulation PTB internal Cooperation

17.07.2013



Simulation of particle trajectories

PB

9

A boundary layer and a developing of string jet could only be avoided by the sphere

→ Best results for aerosol mixture by a counter flow mixer, especially for the geometry of the sphere

17th ETH, CCGN, Andreas Nowak

# Overview of parameter for intercomparison workshop



- Gravimetric soot mass (m<sub>1</sub>) via filter loading
- > Online optical soot mass  $(m_2)$  via MSS AVL 483
- Light absorption coefficient (k) via reference opacimeter AVL 439 (k in m<sup>-1</sup>)
- > Particle number size distribution via non-commercial SMPS ( $\rightarrow$  Mean Dp, nm)
- CVS tunnel parameter (T, flow)

Number of	Number of	Analyzed data
participants	measured	of intercomparison
and DUTs	points	workshop
6 (14)	117	k, m <sub>1</sub> , m <sub>2</sub> , Dp, CVS

→ Average means values of all parameters are predefined by gravimetrical filter loading time

 $\rightarrow$  Only measurements for stationary conditions (no dynamical response check)

17.07.2013

# **Results of intercomparison workshop PTB internal traceable systems**

Very good correlation for reference systems could be observed about 3 orders of magnitude for k and m<sub>1</sub>



Division 3 / DP 3.2 / WG 3.21

17.07.2013

PB

## Results of intercomparison workshop PTB internal systems

- Also very good correlation could be observed for gravimetric soot mass vs. optical soot mass (MSS 483)
- For correlation between optical soot mass and reference opacimeter are some discrepancy for the slope of the linear correlation



Less data density for MSS, because of leakage in measuring cell for two days

Division 3 / DP 3.2 / WG 3.21

17.07.2013

PB

# Results of intercomparison workshop reference systems vs. new sensors for high soot loading

Quite different deviation for DUTs could observed, one device with very good correlation (master device?)



→ Higher variance of data points for m > 150  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and k > 1.6 m<sup>-1</sup> stronger variance as well as very rare data points

# Results of intercomparison workshop PB reference systems vs. new sensors for low soot loading

For data points for m < 150 µg/m³ and k < 1.6 m<sup>-1</sup> could observed very good correlation, especially for k



# Conclusion



- A new stable soot reference source was installed at PTB for a large range of soot mass concentration
- The new light scattering sensors are a 100xmore sensitive than commercial opacimeter on the market for vehicle inspection
- In general, the correlations with respect to light absorption coefficient showed for low soot concentration (k < 1.6 m<sup>-1</sup>) significantly better results than for high soot concentration (k > 1.6 m<sup>-1</sup>)
- For k < 1.6 mostly very good correlation coefficient were determined between 0.98 to 0.99 for all devices</p>
- Just few sensors with good correlation for soot mass during these campaign, especially below 150 mg/m<sup>3</sup>

 $\rightarrow$  So far, no universal calibration method according to verification ordinance 18.9 is available to validate the sensors during field measurements  $\rightarrow$  no type approval

Thanks for your attention



# Outlook: Link to real soot data (MIRA report<sup>1</sup>) **FB** Fitted linear correlation vs. measured data

The linear fit of the MIRA report was used to calculate the MIRA soot mass based of the k-values of the intercomparison workshop → Implementation of conversion factor is needed



# Outlook: Link to real soot data **PB** Difference between diesel and propane soot

- ➤ TEM analyze showed more clustered structure for diesel soot than propane soot (longer aggregates) → Differences in density
- > Effect on optical parameter like refraction index, primary particle sizes, fractional dimension
- Influence of relative humidity in the exhaust



 $\rightarrow$  Further research project is needed

# Special Dilution System – CFD Simulation PTB internal Cooperation



Side view of different geometries for the mixing ratio of two gases (white for dilution air at 0.0 for 450 l/min and black for CAST exhaust at 1.0 for 450 l/min )



Quantification of mixing process behind the mixing unit about  $\Delta M$ =  $M_{max}$  –  $M_{min}$ 

→ Best results for gas mixture by a counter flow mixer including a sphere

# Non – commercial SMPS system





### k vs. m vs. Dp,mode diameter





*Comparison between k- value of reference opacimeter (AVL 439) and mass concentration from gravimetrical mass and Gaussian fit parameter of PNSD for mode diameter*