How the humidity affects the microwavebased soot load determination of a DPF

Markus Feulner, Ralf Stöber, Gerhard Fischerauer, Ralf Moos

Bayreuth Engine Research Center (BERC), University of Bayreuth, 95447 Bayreuth, Germany funktionsmaterialien@uni-bayreuth.de

Fundamentals

- Knowledge of the actual trapped soot mass of diesel particulate filters (DPF) is important for a fuel-efficient engine control and filter regeneration strategy \rightarrow State of the art: indirect and model-based soot load evaluation, involving the pressure loss at the DPF [1]
- Novel approach enables direct and contactless soot load detection of a DPF using microwaves:
 - Two antennas are installed in the canning up- and downstream of the filter:

With a Vector Network Analyzer (VNA), microwaves (0.5 - 2.5 GHz) are emitted into the resonator and recorded at the same time. Inside the housing characteristic standing waves (resonance modes) form, as the metallic canning defines a cavity resonator.

Measuring of reflection- (S_{11}, S_{22}) and transmission- (S_{12}, S_{21}) spectra





- Spectra vary with conductivity and hence with the soot load inside the DPF \bullet
- Various parameters possible: here resonance frequency, f_{res}, and the transmission factor, averaged between 0.8 2.5 GHz, are considered





1. Soot loading

Filter was mounted in the exhaust pipe of a dynamometer test bench (3.0 I TDI engine, 6 cyl.)

Soot loading under constant speed and load (2350 min⁻¹, 20%)

- \rightarrow soot mass on DPF at end of experiment: 1.5 g/I_{DPF}
- Linear decrease of resonance frequency f_{res} (TE112-Mode) and the averaged transmission parameter during soot loading
- Accumulating soot changes the electrical conductivity and permittivity inside the resonator
- Behavior is consistent to previous results and literature [2, 3, 4]

Resonance frequency (upper graph) and averaged transmission factor (lower graph) during soot loading.

2. Humidity and temperature variation

Stepwise variation of ambient temperature (13 – 80°C) and relative humidity (2 – 80 %) in a climate cabinet. Thereby, no direct gas flow through the DPF was enforced! Climatic exposure test was conducted several times: with empty resonator/housing, with a soot-free DPF, with the soot-loaded DPF (1.5 g/I_{DPF}).



 $f_{\rm res}$ (upper graphs) and averaged transmission factor (lower graphs) over relative humidity at different temperatures. Each step was set for 2hrs before measuring the rf-parameters.

- \blacktriangleright Increase of temperature or humidity lead to decrease of f_{res} and the averaged transmission factor \rightarrow change in conductivity and permittivity with ϑ and r.h.
- Sensitivity on humidity increases with higher temperature (especially for f_{res})
- \blacktriangleright Values of f_{res} and the transmissions parameter are higher than at the end of soot loading \rightarrow lower temperature (ϑ_{exb} : 230°C)



Resonance freq. (upper graph) and averaged transmission (lower graph) during long-termdrying of a soot-loaded DPF at 50°C.

- Influence of temperature overbalances that of drying
- $f_{\rm res}$ depends more on humidity of the DPF than the averaged transmission factor (almost no effect)

Summary and conclusions

In total, signal shifts caused by humidity are very small compared to shifts

| - 10 - | | |
|-----------|---|--|
| - | soot 1 g/l _{DPF} | |
| 0 | rh 15% \rightarrow 50% 80°C soot-loaded DPF | |



during soot loading

- Soot-free DPF or empty canning: almost no influence of humidity occurs
- Soot loaded DPF: ambient conditions need to be considered in real-world applications
- Especially f_{res} is affected by humidity; only minimal influence on averaged transmission parameter (for $\vartheta < 100^{\circ}C$!)
 - → Behavior above 100°C needs to be examined
 - → The averaged transmission seems more suitable for application

REFERENCES

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under MO 1060/6-2 and FI 956/3-2.

DFG Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft





[1] D. Rose, T. Boger, SAE Paper 2009-01-1262, 2009. [2] G. Fischerauer, M. Förster, R. Moos, Meas. Sci. Tech. 21 (2010) 035108. [3] M. Feulner, G. Hagen, A. Müller, A. Piontkowski, G. Fischerauer, D. Brüggemann, R. Moos, Topics in Catalysis 56 (2013), 483-488. [4] A. Sappok, L. Bromberg, J Parks, V. Prikhodko, SAE Paper 2010-01-2126, 2010.

www.berc.uni-bayreuth.de **AUTOMOTIVE COMPONENTS ENGINEERING**