

Center of Excellence for Aerospace Particulate Emissions Reduction Research

### Background

The growth of air traffic has generated environmental concern since engine emissions can impact air quality around airports as well as general atmospheric chemistry and climate. An accurate assessment requires that the physical properties, e.g. number concentration, size, mass density, of the PM within the exhaust as it exits the engine, along with its evolution in the aging plumes, be understood and well characterized.

The SAE E31 committee is currently working to develop an ARP (Aerospace Recommended Practice) for gas turbine exhaust nvPM (nonvolatile Particulate Matter) number and mass measurements. MS&T has assembled a reference system for this task, including both sampling train and instrumentation.

#### Honeywell Test Campaign

- July/August 2014
- Intercomparison of the AIR6241 compliant North American mobile reference system operated by MS&T and the Honeywell nvPM System.
- Honeywell turbofan propulsion engine in the 29 – 33 kN thrust range.
- Honeywell San Tan Remote Facility Phoenix Arizona

#### Instrumentation

#### Influence of Ambient Temperature on Gas **Turbine Emissions**

D.E. Hagen<sup>1</sup>, P. Lobo<sup>1</sup>, and P.D. Whitefield<sup>1</sup>, D. Christie<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Center of Excellence for Aerospace Particulate Emissions Reduction Research, Schrenk Hall, Missouri University of Science & Technology, Rolla, MO 65409 USA <sup>2</sup>Honeywell Aerospace, Phoenix, AZ USA

#### Objectives

- Inter-compare HON system and North American nvPM Reference system
- Compare nvPM emissions sampled from mixed flow and core flow configurations
- Compare nvPM emissions from tests conducted during different times of the day to investigate the impact of variation in ambient temperature

### Parameters

Elm – Mass based emission index EImC – EIm corrected for line loss. GMD – Geometric Mean Diameter GSD – Geometric Standard Deviation MMD – Mass Mean Diameter EIn – Number based emission index. EInC – EIn corrected for line loss.

- Number: AVL Particle Counter (APC)
- Mass: AVL Micro Soot Sensor (MSS)
- DMS500 Fast particle size spectrometer

	A	naly	ysis	s Elm	, Elr	, GN	ЛD,	GSD
			Cor	e Elm fo	or vario	ous NP	<b>'</b> S	
	1.0 -				•	¥	¥	
Elm	0.8 -		X	<u></u>				◆ NP=0.374
ed	0.6 -		+	+	+	+	<del> </del>	0.618
Normalized	0.4 -		$\mathbf{\vee}$	X	$\times$	$\times$	×	▲ 0.748
E E	0.4							$\times 0.808$
No N	0.2 -							₩0.874
	0.0 -							0.928

•	OAT	denotes	outside	air	temperature.
---	-----	---------	---------	-----	--------------

OAT (F)

	Slope Matrix						
Parameter $\downarrow/NP \rightarrow$	0.374	0.618	0.748	0.808	0.874	0.928	1.000
Elm	0.013	-0.113	1.121	2.768	2.286	-1.219	-0.378
ElmC	0.013	-0.113	1.121	2.768	2.286	-1.219	-0.378
GMD	-0.021	-0.003	0.022	0.074	0.042	-0.084	-0.094
GSD	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
MMD	0.281	0.281	0.281	0.281	0.281	0.281	0.281
EIn	-0.003	-0.001	0.001	0.006	0.000	0.007	0.003
EInC	-0.003	-0.001	0.002	0.007	0.000	0.009	0.005

	<b>δslope/sl</b>	ope Matrix	K					
Parameter $\downarrow/NP \rightarrow$	0.374	0.618	0.748	0.808	0.874	0.928	1.000	
Elm	11.32	0.38	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.19	0.41	
ElmC	11.32	0.38	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.19	0.41	
GMD	0.74	1.82	0.70	0.37	0.24	0.09	0.10	
GSD	0.94	0.92	3.61	0.24	2.78	2.11	0.43	
MMD	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	
Eln	1.34	0.16	1.15	0.33	1.21	0.05	0.04	
EInC	1.65	0.22	1.02	0.34	1.61	0.05	0.05	
	% change	Matrix, ΔC	)AT = 23 F	100*23*slope/average				
Parameter $\downarrow/NP \rightarrow$		0.618	0.748	0.808	0.874	0.928	1.000	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Elm	1	-10	25	23	10	-5	-2	
	1			23 23	10 10	-5 -5	-2 -2	
Elm	1 1 -2	-10	25			-		
Elm ElmC	1 1	-10 -10	25	23	10	-5	-2	
Elm ElmC GMD	1 1 -2	-10 -10 0	25 25 1	23 4	10 2	-5 -4	-2	
Elm ElmC GMD GSD	1 1 -2 2	-10 -10 0 0	25 25 1 0	23 4 0	10 2 0	-5 -4 0	-2 -4 1	

- NP is a normalized surrogate for engine power.
- Uncertainty weighted linear fit, for each NP.

110 + 1

105

- Slope, intercept, δslope, δintercept
- Change in aerosol parameter over  $\Delta T = 23 F$  $-slope^{*}\Delta T$
- Uncertainty in that change

 $-\delta slope^*\Delta T$ 

80

- Ratio =  $\delta$ slope/slope
- $-\leq 1 \rightarrow$  Change in Elm is statistically significant

## Results for core flow

# Acknowledgment

• $\delta$ slope / slope usually  $\leq 1$ 

•This work was funded by the US Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) through the Partnership for AiR Transportation for Noise and Emissions Reduction (PARTNER) – a FAA-NASA-Transport Canada-US DoD-US EPA sponsored Center of Excellence under Grant No. 09-C-NE-MST Amendments 011 and 014.

- •There is statistically significant temp effect, up to 25% for a 23F change in OAT.
- •The effect is stronger at high power.
- •Where  $\delta slope / slope > 1$ 
  - –Parameter vs OAT is pretty flat
  - -The %change is small.

- Hard work from Richard Bohman (Honeywell) was crucial to this campaign.
- Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the sponsors.