

The particle-NOx trade-off: Two decades of diesel converter technologies have not settled both issues



20th ETH conference on Combustion Genereated Nanoparticles Zürich, June 13-16, 2016

First of all: congratulations to the 20th anniversary



20th ETH conference on Combustion Genereated Nanoparticles Zürich, June 13-16, 2016 The particle-NOx trade-off: Two decades of diesel converter technologies have not settled both issues

GDCh/DECHEMA-Sonderkolloquium, "Stickoxide: Ist der Diesel noch zu retten?"

Frankfurt, 14. Januar 2016

7th VERT-Forum, "Can diesel solve its NO and NO₂ problem in time?" Dübendorf, March 18. 2016

6th Freiburger workshop, "Europa's NOx problem, eine Folge ineffizienter Diesel-Katalysatoren und schlechter Abgasgesetzgebung" Ereiburg i.B., 6. Juni 2016

The particle-NOx trade-off: Two decades of diesel converter technologies have not settled both issues

Trends of Swiss road traffic

(From gasoline to diesel and back to gasoline?)

Exhaust legislation and real-world performance

(Appearance and reality)

No diesel without filter, no diesel without deNOx-system

(The future is dePN)

The first CGN conference at this location held in 1997

1.165



From 3% diesel pc in 1990 to 40% in 2016: diesel on the rise, alternatives <1%!

1.12



From 3% diesel pc in 1990 to 40% in 2016: diesel on the rise, alternatives <1%!

Driving distance per vehicle class (million km/y)

Not much low carbon fuels (CH4 & H2) or e-mobility in sight

1.125



From 3% diesel pc in 1990 to 40% in 2016: diesel on the rise, alternatives <1%!

1.12



From 3% diesel pc in 1990 to 40% in 2016: diesel on the rise, alternatives <1%!



From 3% diesel pc in 1990 to 40% in 2016: diesel on the rise, alternatives <1%!



Legislation and reality



Since 1995 NOx limits in CH and Europe are based on EDC!

Useful and other driving cycles

Transient urban driving with cold start and stop-&-go

The US FTP-75, valid also in CH until 1995



Real-world driving cycle, realistic driving pattern

Consequence: Converters are tested under road-like conditions

Useful and other driving cycles

Low engine load, fewer load changes, moderate acceleration, even without cold start



The outcome of a bureaucrat?

Consequence: Converters are tested at low loads, quasi-stationary

Useful and other driving cycles

Lots of transients, with cold start, motorway and some stop-&-go

The WLTC, from 2017 on

>100 s >120 km/h !



There's hope, that 40 years after the FTP-75 Europa is getting a transient cycle too!



Gian-Marco Alt, Michael Götsch, Valentin Delb, AWEL, Zürich

Chen & Borken-Kleefeld Atm. Env. 2014, 88, 157-164

For example with an FT-IR at the curbside

- Remote Sensing
- Emissions of individual vehicles
 - NO, CO, HC, CO₂
 - 15 years, 500'000 vehicles
 - Licence plate recognition
 - Technology assignment
 - Detection of high emitters
 - Field inspection and control

Exhaust regulation and reality

NOx emission limits in CH and Europe

FTP-75 NEDC FTP-75 NEDC 1.4 30 1.2 25 Euro-2 Euro-2 1 Euro-3 20 Euro-3 FAV 1-1 g/kg fuel 0.8 g/km Euro-4 Euro-4 15 Euro-5 0.6 Euro-5 10 Euro-6 Euro-6 0.4 5 0.2 0 0 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020 1985 1990 1995 2000 2005 2010 2015 2020

Related to distance (g NOx/km)

Consumption-related (g NOx/kg fuel)

NOx-emissions followed the prescribed limits (from 11 to <1 g/kg in 20 y)



DeNOx-technologies of gasoline vehicles (TWCs) are active, also on roads

NOx-emissions followed the prescribed limits (from 11 to <1 g/kg in 20 y)



DeNOx-technologies of gasoline vehicles (TWCs) are active, also on roads

NOx-emissions of diesel PC and LDV even got worse (from 10 in 1990 to 20 g/kg in 2000)

NOx-emissions of diesel-vehicles NEDC FTP-75 Lieferwagen Euro-2 Grenzwer Euro-3 Euro-4 g/kg fuel g/kg Tielbstoff Euro-5 Euro-6

Converter-technologies for diesel vehicles are not deNOx active

NOx-emissions of diesel PC and LDV even got worse (from 10 in 1990 to 20 g/kg in 2000)

NOx-emissions of diesel-vehicles NEDC FTP-75 Lleferwagen Euro-2 Grenzwer Euro-3 Euro-4 g/kg fuel g/kg Tielbstoff Euro-5 x Euro-6

Converter-technologies for diesel vehicles are not deNOx active

Appearance and reality are far apart! Diesel NOx 10x higher than gasoline vehicles

NOx-emissions of gasoline- & diesel-vehicles

The NOx-problem of diesel-PCs & LDVs is 20 years old – that's the scandal



Can diesel solve its NO and NO₂ emission problem in time?

Appearance and reality are far apart! Another 15 years to wait?

NO_x-emissions of diesel-vehicles

The NO_x-problem of diesel-PCs & LDVs is 20 years old – that's the scandal



Exhaust regulation and reality

What went wrong in Europa?

- Lowered limits in a poor cycle (low loads, few transients, moderate acceleration)

- Non-specific regulations (NOx a virtual molecule, NO2 or NO does matter!)

- No effective field control, no sanctions, no fines

Consequence: Spread out of diesel-PWs & LDVs with too high NO and NO₂ emissions

Should we rely on diesel engines at all?

More specifically: Do we really need diesel engines for PCs and LDVs in our cities?

With respect to NO, NO₂ & PN, CH would have been better off without these diesels!

Exhaust regulation and reality



Heavy duty vehicles construction, mining & farming machinery >90 % diesel

Heavy duty vehicles construction, mining & farming machinery >90 % diesel Passenger cars and light duty vehicles EU: ~42 % diesel CH: ~40 % diesel US: ~3 % diesel

Heavy duty vehicles construction, mining & farming machinery >90 % diesel Passenger cars and light duty vehicles EU: ~42 % diesel CH: ~40 % diesel US: ~3 % diesel

Ships >95 % diesel

Heavy duty vehicles construction, mining & farming machinery >90 % diesel



Passenger cars and light duty vehicles EU: ~42 % diesel CH: ~40 % diesel US: ~3 % diesel

Locomotives EU: ~55 % diesel

Ships >95 % diesel

Heavy duty vehicles construction, mining & farming machinery >90 % diesel



Passenger cars and light duty vehicles EU: ~42 % diesel CH: ~40 % diesel US: ~3 % diesel

Locomotives EU: ~55 % Diesel

Ships >95 % diesel

Heavy duty vehicles construction, mining & farming machinery >90 % diesel Passenger cars and light duty vehicles EU: ~42 % diesel CH: ~40 % diesel US: ~3 % diesel

We have to, they are all around us!

Ships >95 % diesel Locomotives EU: ~55 % Diesel

World Health Organization, IARC Diesel engine exhaust: A group 1 carcinogen

Diesel engine exhaust causes cancer in humans



Adverse health effects of diesel exhaust

How about genotoxic compounds?

Problem 1: Genotoxicity

 Diesel exhaust is genotoxic (it contains mutagenic and carcinogenic compounds)



Adverse health effects of diesel exhaust

Problem 2: Trojan horse effect

 Nanoparticles penetrate cell membranes (alveoli, placenta, blood cells) acting like a Trojan horse transporting toxic compounds into cells

Trojan horse, Harbour of Canakkale, Turkey

Catalytic DPFs, BAT since 1998

more than 50 VERT-tested DPFs are on the market (ready to be used).



Catalytic DPFs, BAT since 1998

more than 50 VERT-tested DPFs are on the market (ready to be used).



NEAT – the longest railway tunnel of the world Was built with VERT/LRV-approved DPFs



NEAT – if DPFs work in these tunnels they'll also work in all other applications



NEAT – the longest railway tunnel of the world Was built with VERT/LRV-approved DPFs



NEAT Opening Ceremony Juni 2, 2016

Adverse health effects of diesel exhaust

Problem 3: Reactive nitrogen compounds

- NO₂ highly toxic (acute and chronic) (induces oxidative stress, inflammation chronic obstructive pulmonary disease)
- Diesel vehicles with DOCs and hox-DPFs substantially increased NO₂ emissions

No diesel without efficient deNOx system!

Urea-based SCR



Currently the most efficient deNOx system for diesel engines

Exhaust temperatures in the ISO.8178/4 C1 cycle

The urea-based $deNO_x$ -system is active only for about 60-80% of the operating time

DeNO_x-system active >200°C



DeNO_x Efficiencies: A best case scenario



DeNO_x Efficiencies: A best case scenario



~3% NO2 und 97% NO Anteile

The visible effect of an SCR-system



For years ten thousands of Euro-III, -IV, -V trucks without filters!

The visible effect of an efficient DPF

About 7 m³ of exhaust (3 min operating time of a 3.0 Liter Euro-III engine (100 kW)



The particle-NOx trade-off: Two decades of diesel converter technologies have not settled both issues

Summary

- The NO and NO₂, problem of diesel vehicles is 20 years old!
- No more particle-NOx trade-off, no more either/or policy
- No diesel without filter, no diesel without deNOx!

The future is dePN, but there's lot's of work ahead of us!



May be we also invite those to this conference that work on the NOx problem, or those studying NOx-related toxicology, or those developing NOx abatement technologies

The particle-NOx trade-off: Two decades of diesel converter technologies have not settled both issues

Outlook

Some suggestions to do better in the future

- test new technologies in advance (by independent bodies)
- develop specific regulations for NO, NO₂, NH₃, HNCO
- protection from toxic secondary pollutants
- incentives for efficient converter technologies (best available technology)
- avoid stupid legislation (e.g. ppm based NH₃ emission limit)





The DePN - a chemical factory!



we need the diesel, but with efficient deNO_x and filter technologies!

The DePN - a chemical factory!

If a DPF is considered as a chemical reactor, a combined dePN is a factory!



In other words: if we can not deliver deNOx technologies that are active in real world, diesel vehicles will not be tolerated in our cities even though they are equipped with high quality filters!

we need the diesel, but with efficient deNO_x and filter technologies!

Can diesel solve its NO and NO₂ emission problem in time?

VERT should proceed and recommend the use of high quality dePN systems?

Requirements for VERT approved systems

Approved dePN systems should:

- lower genotoxic compounds (a.m.a.p.)
- reduce PM- & PN-emissions (>98%)
- reduce NO and NO₂ emissions (not defined yet)
- have low risks of secondary emissions
- not increase metal emissions (e.g. catalysts)

we need >90%



