The wind, the traffic and the buildings: the role of the built environment in determining air pollution exposures

**Suzanne Paulson** 



Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences & IoES

UCLA

### **Very Small Particles: lots of spatial heterogeneity**



Ultrafine particles are mostly from vehicular emissions. They disappear In around a half an hour: rather than magically going away, they collide and stick to fine particles. As a result, they are highly elevated around roadways compared to everywhere else.

### Hotspots in urban areas

### Measurement Design



### Dealing with the mobile data

- Mobile data gives spatially heterogeneous measurements; sometimes you get 30 in one spot, and sometimes one every 20 m.
- Simple averaging of mobile data (after correction for the wandering GPS signal) ends up looking like a trail of confetti after a parade route.

## Using a line-reference system

- Divide the street into a grid with reference points every x meters.
  - Each reference point gets 1 value per run. If there are 30 data points, they are averaged. If there are no data points, we interpolate one.
  - This avoids under/overweighting individual "runs" on the route.



# At high spatial resolution, mostly see the effects of accelerations around traffic stops.



## Need ~20 repeats under similar met conditions to get a reasonable average

Ranasinghe et al. AAQR (2016)



What is the effect of the built environment at the block/neighborhood scale on pollutant concentrations at the street?



Olive & 12th Site (Street view: heading to South)

### Site 2: One isolated tall building with low traffic



#### Olive & 12th Site (Street view: heading to North)

### Site 3: One isolated tall building with high traffic



Vermont & 7th Site (Street view: heading to West)

## Site 4: Intermediate buildings in one side and low buildings in the other side of the street



Wilshire & Carondelet Site (Street view: heading to East)

### Site 5: All single story buildings



Temple City & Las Tunas Site (Street view: heading to North)

### **Built environment quantitative descriptors**

|   | Broadway                             | Olive St.                              | Vermont                              | Wilshire                | Temple City            |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
|   | &                                    | &                                      | &                                    | &                       | &                      |
|   | 7th                                  | $12^{\text{th}}$ St.                   | $7^{th}$ St.                         | Carondelet              | Las Tunas              |
|   | (Site1)                              | (Site2)                                | (Site3)                              | (Site4)                 | (Site5)                |
| # of buildings  | 59                                   | 34                                     | 90                                   | 44                      | 143                    |
| Max. building height (m)  | 58                                   | 129                                    | 80                                   | 57                      | 8                      |
| Mean building height, $H_{bldg}$ (m)  | 34                                   | 21                                     | 11                                   | 18                      | 5                      |
| Bldg area weighted height,<br>H <sub>area</sub> (m)   | 40                                   | 42                                     | 25                                   | 24                      | 6                      |
| Bldg. homogeneity, H <sub>ared</sub> /H <sub>bldg</sub><br>(dimensionless)<br>(1=perfectly homogeneous) | 1.16                                 | 2.01                                   | 2.21                                 | 1.39                    | 1.09                   |
| Mean building ground area (m²)  | 1,030                                | 1,395                                  | 585                                  | 992                     | 225                    |
| Street width (m)  | 26 (BW) /<br>22 (7 <sup>th</sup> )   | 28 (Olive) /<br>17 (12 <sup>th</sup> ) | 30 (Ver) /<br>25 (7 <sup>th</sup> )  | 17 (Car) /<br>37 (Wil)  | 24 (TC) /<br>30 (LT)   |
| Simple Aspect ratio $(H_{area}/W_{street})$   | 1.7                                  | 1.9                                    | 0.9                                  | 0.9                     | 0.2                    |
| Block length (m)  | 190 (BW) /<br>100 (7 <sup>th</sup> ) | 180 (Olive)/<br>95 (12 <sup>th</sup> ) | 190 (Ver) /<br>95 (7 <sup>th</sup> ) | 160 (Car) /<br>75 (Wil) | 175 (TC) /<br>115 (LT) |
| Ratio occupied by bldg.   | 0.72                                 | 0.42                                   | 0.33                                 | 0.46                    | 0.30                   |

## Intersection PNC (Stationary) vs. Over the site average PNC (Mobile)



Higher traffic  $\rightarrow$  higher UFP, except at the two sites with extreme built-environments, homogeneous & high or low: the street canyon (*Site1*) and the low, flat bldg. canopy (*Site5*).

(a) Morning

(b) Afternoon



#### Best Explanatory Factor in the Morning: The "Areal Aspect Ratio" = Length scale of buildings over length scale of open space

 $H_{bldg}$  $H_{bldg}$  $H_{bldg}$ Ar  $\frac{1}{L_{diag} \times \left(1 - \sum S_{bldg} / A_{site}\right)} - \frac{1}{L_{diag} \times \left(A_{open} / A_{site}\right)}$ area 1400 [UFP] (*particles.cm<sup>-3</sup>) /* Traffic flow rate (veh..min<sup>-1</sup>) 200 H<sub>bldg</sub>: Mean area-weighted building height 000 \$ L<sub>diag</sub>: Diagonal length of 800 block S<sub>bldg</sub>: Building surface area ☆ 600 A<sub>site</sub>: Area of the sampling  $\bigcirc$ site 400 Site 1 Site 2 A<sub>open</sub>: Area of the open Site 3 200 space in sampling site Site 4 Site 5 0L 0 0.2 0.4 0.5 0.7 0.1 0.3 0.6 Choi et al., 2016 0.8 Areal aspect ratio (Ar<sub>area</sub>)

### Best Explanatory Factor in the Afternoon: Turbulence strength (vertical fluctuations of surface winds, $\sigma_w$ )



#### Best Explanatory Factor in the Afternoon: Turbulence strength (vertical fluctuations of surface winds, $\sigma_w$ )

Appears to be from non-local emissions



# The effects of building heterogeneity on turbulence in the afternoon:



Higher building heterogeneity appears to enhance surface turbulence, under conditions with moderate winds and an unstable atmosphere

### Summary for Planners:

### Built environment and traffic management design characteristics that influence near-roadway exposures to vehicular pollution

| Management                                | Suggested Direction           | Approx. Size of      | Atmospheric Conditions &      |  |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
|   |                               | Effect               | Notes                         |  |
| Areal aspect ratio (A <sub>area</sub> )   | Lower building volumes        | Up to approximately  | Important under calm          |  |
| A <sub>area</sub> combines building area- | and more open space           | a factor of three.   | conditions (in the mornings   |  |
| weighted height, building                 | result in lower pollutant     |                      | at our sites). Not critical   |  |
| footprint, and the amount of              | concentrations.               |                      | when the atmosphere is        |  |
| open space.                               |                               |                      | unstable.                     |  |
|   |                               |                      |                               |  |
| Building Heterogeneity                    | Isolated tall buildings       | Up to approximately  | Important under unstable      |  |
|   | result in lower               | a factor of two.     | conditions with moderate      |  |
|   | concentrations than           |                      | winds (afternoons at our      |  |
|   | homogeneous shorter or        |                      | sites). Not critical when the |  |
|   | higher buildings with         |                      | atmosphere is stable.         |  |
|   | similar volume.               |                      |                               |  |
|   |                               |                      |                               |  |
| Traffic flow                              | Lower traffic flow is better, | At a given location, |                               |  |
|   | controlling for fleet mix.    | concentrations are   |                               |  |
|   |                               | roughly proportional |                               |  |
|   |                               | to traffic flow.     |                               |  |
|   |                               |                      |                               |  |

### Summary for Planners:

### Built environment and traffic management design characteristics that influence near-roadway exposures to vehicular pollution

| Management                      | Suggested Direction  | Approx. Size of<br>Effect          | Atmospheric Conditions<br>& Notes  |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Traffic<br>Management           | Fewer stops and smaller queues<br>reduce emissions and elevated<br>concentrations around intersections   | Cannot estimate<br>from our data   | Concentrations depend on<br>emissions, micro-scale<br>turbulence, dispersion,<br>transport from nearby<br>streets, and other factors |
| Sensitive uses<br>near highways | Further is better, but under normal<br>daytime conditions 500 feet is<br>sufficient. If there are consistent<br>nocturnal surface inversions, much<br>longer distances are<br>recommended. | Up to a factor of four<br>or more. | Much more important<br>during surface inversions,<br>which usually occur during<br>night and can persist<br>through mid-morning.     |
| Airports                        | Site residential and other sensitive uses far from airports.   | Up to a factor of four or more     |  |

### The People Who Really Did the Work:

#### Dr. Wonsik Choi Dilhara Ranasinghe



With help from:

Karen Bunavage Dr. Meilu He Dr. Rodrigo Siguel (UTAM, Chile) **Prof. Arthur Winer** Prof. Mario Gerla Prof. Brian Taylor Dr. Kathleen Kozawa (ARB) Steve Mara (ARB) Lisa Wong Prof. J.R. DeShazo Nico Schultz (UCR) Si Tan (UCR) Prof. Akula Venkatram (UCR)

Supported by the California Air Resources Board, and the National Science Foundation

### Thank you for your attention