#### Authors:

**Donato Rubinetti** Daniel A. Weiss Walter Egli

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Thermal and Fluid Engineering

FHNW School of Engineering

<sup>2</sup> EGW Software Engineering

# **Electrostatic Precipitator**



Modeling and Analytical Verification Concept

# Introduction

Electrostatic precipitators are a reliable technology to control emissions of airborne particles covering a substantial range of particle concentrations and sizes.



# **Boundary Conditions**



# **Experimental Validation**

The model has been adapted and compared to existing experimental data.



Fig. 1: Interactions in an ESP

Further improvement of ESP focuses on numerical modeling to optimize performance and development costs.

# **Governing Equations**

To correctly take into account the Electrostatics the following four equations need to be fulfilled:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \frac{\rho_{el}}{\varepsilon_0} \tag{1}$$
$$\boldsymbol{E} = -\nabla \phi \tag{2}$$
$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{J} = 0 \tag{3}$$
$$\boldsymbol{J} = \rho_{el}(\boldsymbol{w} + \boldsymbol{b}\boldsymbol{E}) - D\nabla \rho_{el} \tag{4}$$

Fig. 2: 2D-axissymmetric configuration and boundary conditions

## **Results/Analytical Verification**



Fig. 3: Particle trajectories for five chosen particle sizes. The smallest  $0.001 \mu m$  particles are completely desposited right away due to the weak influence of drag. To the other extreme, the largest particles are deposited fully due to their substantial charging ability. The 0.01 $\mu$ m particles manage to deposit likewise, despite the increased drag. Inbetween a complete deposition is not achieved, as electric force

**Fig. 6:** Measurement setup as carried out by Poppner *et al.* [1] to measure electric quantities in a emitting Corona environment along the drawn lines.



Fig. 7: Comparison of simulation results (S) and measurements (M) for the electric field. Towards the electrode the measurement device cannot handle the high gradients.



 $\mathbf{J} = \rho_{e/}(\mathbf{W} + D\mathbf{E}) - \mathbf{D} \vee \rho_{e/}$ 

with the electrical field strength *E*, the space charge density  $\rho_{el}$ , the **electrical constant**  $\varepsilon_0$ , the electric potential  $\phi$ , the current density J, the flow velocity **w**, the ion mobility b and the diffusion coefficient *D*.

For practical implementation equations (1) and (2) as well as (3) and (4) merge to equation (5) and (6), respectively. To analytically verify the wire-tube test case convection and diffusion are neglected, which yields

> $\nabla^2 \phi = -\frac{\rho_{el}}{2}$  $oldsymbol{E} 
> abla 
> ho_{oldsymbol{el}}$  =

(5)

(6)

# Simulation Setup

#### Structure

The model consists of three simulations

and drag force balance each other out.



Fig. 4: The numerical and analytical result for the electric field strength are identical.



Fig. 8: As integral quantity, the experimental data for the electric potential matches the numerical results closer.

# Discussion

- By means of a wire-tube test case the proposed model has been successfully verified. It performs both stable and accurate.
- The results for particle deposition effi-ciency match the expectations according to Deutsch-Anderson relation (Fig. 9)



- Stationary flow,
- Stationary electrostatics,
- Transient particle motion.

In the transient simulation the coupling of electrostatics and flow is established through the correspondent acting forces on the particles.

### **Iteration Algorithm**

To calculate the initial space charge density on the emitting electrode a user-operated algorithm is implemented, which is based on the inital current density as fitting parameter. The algorithm performs until the electric field on the electrode matches the expected (given) electric field strength for Corona discharge.



**Fig. 5:** The results for the space charge density also could be verified analytically.

# References

Poppner, Marc et al. (2005): *Electric Field coupled with* ion space charge. Part 1+ 2. Journal of Electrostatics. Volume 63. p. 775-787. Amsterdam: Elsevier

Hinds, W. (1999): Aerosol technology - properties, be-[2] havior, and measurements of airborne particles. 2nd edition. New York: John Wiley & Sons.



Fig. 9: Deutsch-Anderson relation between deposition efficiency and particle size [2]

The proposed model can seamlessly be adapted to parent applications which involve particle charging and acceleration.