

Center of Excellence for Aerospace Particulate Emissions Reduction Research

Plume Processing of Soot Aerosol in a Jet Engine Exhaust

P.D. Whitefield, M. Trueblood, P. Lobo, and D.E. Hagen Center of Excellence for Aerospace Particulate Emissions Reduction Research, Schrenk Hall, Missouri University of Science & Technology, Rolla, MO 65409 USA

Introduction

- Volatile materials in exhaust condense onto soot particles and nucleate new particles.
- Useful metrics: SMF (Soluble Mass Fraction) and VMF (Volatile Mass Fraction)
- Use deliquescence measurements to quantify SMF.
- Use volatility measurements to quantify VMF.
- Explore SMF & VMF variation with distance in plume.



Soluble Mass Fraction (SMF) 145m



Project AFFEX-2 OBJECTIVE Perform static aircraft engine testing using Hydro-treated Renewable Jet (HRJ) and other fuels to determine effects on engine performance and emissions. APPROACH Utilize the NASA DC-8 aircraft with CFM 56 engines at the Dryden Operational Facility in Palmdale, CA to perform emissions testing using various alternative fuels and a JP-8 reference fuel, and obtain gaseous, solid, and aerosol samples for analysis at 1, 30, and 145 meters downstream of the aircraft engine exhaust. **Fuels Studied** HRJ (Beef HRJ – JP8 FT JP8 Tallow) Blend

Differences in fuel properties, especially fuel aromatic content and fuel sulfur content can influence PM Emissions

VMF Studies Proportionality Constant (b) vs Engine Power





Methodologies for VMF and SMF

 MF Methodology Measure the total and non-volatile size distributions. Take the non-volatile size distribution and calculate what it's size distribution would become, when it gets coated with volatile material, assuming that that the non-volatile particles collect a volume of volatile material 	 SMF Methodology Measure dry diameter. Measure wet diameter, (86% RH) SMF = (sol mass)/(tot mass) Calculate critical supersaturation (assuming soluble material sulfuric acid)
 proportional to their surface area, with proportionality constant b. b is the object of the measurement. Adjust b to minimize the difference between the GMD for the modeled total size distribution and that for the measured total size distribution. Use b to calculate a Volatile Mass Fraction, VMF. vmf_i = ρ_vbx_i²/[(π/6)ρ_sx_i³ + ρ_vbx_i²] ρ_s = Soot density ρ_v = Density of volatile material 	

VMF vs Particle Diameter



FT+THT has highest propensity for collecting volatile material, as evidenced by largest b value for the fuels studied.

Smf

Vmf

30.00 35.0

Sfm fit

Linear (Vmf)



Comparing SMF and VMF as a function of particle diameter for lower sulfur fuels

For fuels with lower sulfur content their VMF values are found to be greater than SMF. This indicates that not all volatile material is water soluble, for the fuels with lower sulfur content. The CC values are correlation coefficients and reflect confidence in the linear fits to the data.



0.80 -

0.70 -<u>6.60</u>

b 0.40 - 0.30 -

0.20

0.10 -

0.00 -

10.00

a 0.50



For fuels with higher sulfur content their SMF values are found to be greater than VMF. The CC values are correlation coeffients and reflect confidence in the linear fits to the data.

Сс	orre	lation	Sumr	nary
				1

		Correl
Fuel	Pwr%	Coef
JP8	30	0.64
	85	0.86
HRJ	30	1.00
	85	0.48
FT	30	0.75
	85	0.27
HRJ-JP8	30	0.73
	85	1.00
FT-THT	30	0.99
	85	0.94
	Weighted Avg	
		0.97

Conclusions

- SMF can be measured via deliquescence. \bullet
- VMF can be measured via thermal desorption.
- SMF is found to
 - Increase with fuel sulfur content and engine power.
 - Decrease with particle diameter.
- VMF increases with decreasing engine power and hence longer \bullet residence time in plume.
- The FT+THT fuel has the highest propensity for collecting volatile material in the plume, with HRJ coming next. The ordering of the other fuels changes with engine power.
- For low sulfur fuels \bullet
 - VMF > SMF
 - Not all volatile material is water soluble.
- For high sulfur fuels \bullet
 - SMF > VMF
 - SMF and VMF are highly correlated.

Acknowledgements

- The work described in this presentation was undertaken by Missouri University of Science and Technology (MS&T) as part of a multi-partner research campaign with the following sponsors and participants:
- FAA Office of Energy and Environment
- NASA Langley Research Center,
- NASA Dryden Flight Research Center
- NASA Glen Research Center,
- EPA
- Air Force Research Laboratory,
- Air Force Arnold Engineering Development Center,
- NAVY AESO,
- Pratt & Whitney, General Electric, Rolls Royce and UTRC
- Several particle measurement instrument companies.



