

The co-release of genotoxic PAHs and **nanoparticles from GDI** vehicles supports the **Trojan-horse effect**

<u>M. Muñoz</u>, R. Haag^a, K. Zeyer^b, J. Mohn^b, P. Comte^c, J. Czerwinski^c, N. Heeb^a

Empa, Laboratory for Advanced Analytical Technologies^a, Laboratory for Air Pollution/Environmental Technology^b. UASB Laboratory for Exhaust Emission Control^c

maria.munozfernandez@empa.ch



Motivation and objectives



Gasoline Direct Injection Vehicle Exhaust



In our research, we observed:

- 2.5x10¹² #/km GDI fleet (n=7)
- 430-460 ng TEQ PAHs/km
- Much higher than the diesel with DPF

But.....

Are PAHs co-released/formed with nanoparticles?



What we blow out when we floor the throttle

Empa researchers studied exhaust emissions from seven gasoline cars and one diesel, six of which were built between 2012 and 2016. Alarming substances came to light in the gas chromatograph, a fine, analytical instrument. As the dynamometer revealed, most of these substances are produced when the vehicle accelerates.

Soot particles

The nanoparticles, which initially have a diameter in 15 to 20 nanometers initiom to of a millimeter, congregate to form larger particles measuring 80 to 100 nanometers, and penetrate the alwoul of the lung (The lungs can only remove particles that are larger than 200 nanometers). Chemical pollutants accumulate on the surface of the soot particles, which transport them into the lungs and thus into the bloodstream – like a Trojan horse.

 Euro 6 permits 6 trillion particles / km for direct-injection gasoline cars and 600 billion particles / km for diesel velocies. For gasoline cats with intake manifold injection, there are no emploin limits at all.

Carbon monoxide (co)

The gas is potionous as it binds to hereoglobin and thus interferes with oxygen transport in the blood. CO potioning is tatal within a short period of time in January, the teanagers died in Germany using a gasoline power generator in a summethouse. Suro 6 permits 3,000 ma CO / Im for gasoline.

→ suro 6 permis 7,000 mg CO7 im for g cars and 500 mg / km for diesel.

Nitric oxides (NO und NO.)

In air ND rapidly oxidizes to form NO₂, a poisonous gas with a purgent odor that initiates the throat and dissolves readily in water to form nitric acid. Above 21 degrees Celsius, it transforms into N.O₂, a concerve and highly oxidizing gas.

➡ Euro 6 permVs 60 mg ND + NO₂ / km for gasoline cars and 80 mg / km for diesel.

Formaldehyde (CH,O)

Formaldehyde can cause allergies and skin, respiratory tract or eye initiations. In concentrations of 30m/Im³ and above, it can be iffe-threatening. In case of chronic exposure, it is carcinogenic and affects the memory, ability to concentrate and sleep. — Euro 6 agres not specify any Amits.

Benzene (C,H,)

Its breakdown in the body produces towns that can trigger cell mutations (cancer). Its long-term intake can harm the inner organs and bone marrow, which causes anemia. In humans and animals, benzene accumulates in the brain, bone marrow and failty tissue.

→ Euro 6 does not specify any limits

Dinitropyrene (C_H_N.O_)

Dinitioprene is produced in the hollexhaust tract in diesel engines through the reaction between pyrene and NO₃ 1,3-, 1,6- and 1,8-dinitropylenes are particularly mutagenic and trigger matignant tumotic in many organs in various lab atimats.

- Euro 6 does not specify any limits

Benzo(a)pyrene (c., H.)

Hencyclopyrin is one of the longest known carcinogenic subtractes. It is found in organiste smoke and cauter lung cances. Benzologiymene is converted chemically in the body. The metabolic product reacts with DNA, which can prevent cell division or cause mutations.

- Euro 6 does not specify any NMMs.

Maria Muñoz, Empa 22nd ETH Conference on Combustion Generated Nanoparticles, June 2018









Carbon monoxide



Benzene

Benzo(a)pyrene

In comparison with diesel... GDI vehicles emit....





On the one hand, we have the **PARTICLES**





- Substantial contribution in the smallest particle size range, below 23 nm
- Sub-23 nm accounted for about 20 % in all velocities
- High proportion of particles entering the alveolar region??



Then, on the other hand... We have PAHs ...

PAHs are genote soot formation





Yan-zhao An, Xiang Li, Sheng-ping Teng, Kun Wang, Yi-qiang Pei, Jing Qin, Hua Zhao, Development of a soot particle model with PAHs as precursors through simulations and experiments, Fuel, Volume 179,2016



Maria Muñoz, Empa

22nd ETH Conference on Combustion Generated Nanoparticles, June 2018



TESTS





GDI FLEET (n = 7)

Euro-3 Euro-4 Euro-5 Euro-6





REFERENCE VEHICLE Euro 5

DIESEL BENCH MARK WITH DPF

Euro-5





Sampling



- Chassis dynamometer of the UASB
- 2 transient driving cycles (WLTC)
 - Cold and hot
- SSC
- Diluted exhaust --- CVS tunnel: solid + condensed + gaseous phases
 - PAH particulate+gaseous phases \rightarrow









Maria Muñoz, Empa

22nd ETH Conference on Combustion Generated Nanoparticles, June 2018

inlet

R=120000



RESULTS



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Atmospheric Environment

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/atmosenv

Co-formation and co-release of genotoxic PAHs, alkyl-PAHs and soot nanoparticles from gasoline direct injection vehicles

Maria Muñoz^{a,*}, Regula Haag^a, Peter Honegger^b, Kerstin Zeyer^b, Joachim Mohn^b, Pierre Comte^c, Jan Czerwinski^c, Norbert V. Heeb^a

^a Laboratory for Advanced Analytical Technologies, Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Überlandstrasse 129, CH-8600, Dübendorf, Switzerland

^b Laboratory for Air Pollution/Environmental Technology, Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Überlandstrasse 129, CH-8600, Dübendorf, Switzerland

^c Laboratory for Exhaust Emission Control, UASB, University of Applied Sciences Bern, Gwerdtstrasse 5, CH-2560, Nidau, Switzerland



Article pubs.acs.org/est

Bioethanol Blending Reduces Nanoparticle, PAH, and Alkyl- and Nitro-PAH Emissions and the Genotoxic Potential of Exhaust from a Gasoline Direct Injection Flex-Fuel Vehicle

Maria Muñoz,**[†] Norbert V. Heeb,[†] Regula Haag,[†] Peter Honegger,[‡] Kerstin Zeyer,[‡] Joachim Mohn,[‡] Pierre Comte,[§] and Jan Czerwinski[§]

[†]Laboratory for Advanced Analytical Technologies and [‡]Laboratory for Air Pollution/Environmental Technology, EMPA, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Überlandstrasse 129, CH-8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland [§]UASB, University of Applied Sciences Bern, Laboratory for Exhaust Emission Control, Gwerdtstrasse 5, CH-2560 Nidau, Switzerland

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Bioethanol as an alternative fuel is widely used as a substitute for gasoline and also in gasoline direct injection (GDI) vehicles, which are quickly replacing traditional portfuel injection (PFI) vehicles. Better fuel efficiency and increased engine power are reported advantages of GDI vehicles. However, increased emissions of soot-like nanoparticles are also associated with GDI technology with yet unknown health impacts. In this study, we compare emissions of a flex-fuel Euro-5 GDI vehicle operated with gasoline (EO) and two ethanol/gasoline blends (E10 and E85) under transient and steady driving conditions and report effects on particle, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH), and allyl-



Effects of four prototype gasoline particle filters (GPFs) on nanoparticle and genotoxic PAH emis-

sions of a gasoline direct injection (GDI) vehicle

Maria Muñoz^a, Regula Haag^a, Kerstin Zeyer^b, Joachim Mohn^b, Pierre Comte^c, Jan Czerwinski^c, Norbert V, Heeb^a.

Empa, Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology, Laboratory for Advanced Analytical Technologies⁸, Laboratory for Air Pollution/Environmental Technology^b, Überlandstrasse 129, CH-8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland.UASB, University of Applied Sciences Bern^c, Laboratory for Exhaust Emission Control, Gwerdtstrasse 5, CH-2560 Nidau, Switzerland.

Submitted to ES&T (June 2018)





Gasoline (GDI) vs Diesel with DPF



Data reported in ng TEQ/m³

Toxic equivalency concentration (ng TEQ/m³)

TEF X C(ng/Nm³)





Chemical structures and names of the 8 genotoxic PAHs. IARC carcinogenic group and TEFs are indicated in brackets according to I.C. Nisbeth, P.K.L. Regul Toxic Pharmacol. 16:290-300; 1992.

Gasoline vs Diesel-DPF emissions (ng TEQ/m³, 8 genotox PAHs)



Maria Muñoz, Empa

22nd ETH Conference on Combustion Generated Nanoparticles, June 2018

technologies

technologies

Lowest emitter (PN and PAHs) \rightarrow newest technology (Euro 6)



Maria Muñoz, Empa

22nd ETH Conference on Combustion Generated Nanoparticles, June 2018

Particles and PAHs correlate!!!



Muñoz, M., R. Haag, P. Honegger, K. Zeyer, J. Mohn, P. Comte, J. Czerwinsk and N. V. Heeb (2018). "Co-formation and co-release of genotoxic PAHs, alkyl-PAHs and soot nanoparticles from gasoline direct injection vehicles." <u>Atmospheric Environment</u>.





Solutions are needed to lower emissions... at least to diesel with DPF levels

<u>Aftertreatment</u>: Prototype GPFs → BAT FILTERS ??

Euro-5 REF Vehicle

GDI with GPF





2 coated + 2 non-coated filters





Best filter

GPF-1: 75-99%

GPF-2 and -3: 20-90%

Worse filter

GPF-4: 2-100x increase





Genotoxic compounds reduced 86% GPF-1 82% GPF-2 65% GPF-3 For individual PAHs 20-99% with most around 60-75%

COATED: 2 and 3

However, GPF need to improve.....



Most DPFs converted 80%

Efficiency []

Genotoxic compounds reduced 86% GPF-1 82% GPF-2 (coated) 65% GPF-3 (coated) 0% GPF-4 For individual PAHs 20-99% with most around 60-75%

Conversion of carcinogenic PAHs with DPFs





PN results are in accordance with PAH results

Particles and PAHs seem to correlate even with GPFs!!!



Munoz et al. (submitted June 2018)

Maria Muñoz, Empa

22nd ETH Conference on Combustion Generated Nanoparticles, June 2018

cy, worse filter

chnolog





Newest technology (Euro-6) lowest emissions

Czerwinski, J., Comte, P., Heeb, N., Mayer, A. et al., "Nanoparticle Emissions of DI Gasoline Cars with/without GPF," SAE Technical Paper 2017-01-1004, 2017, doi:10.4271/2017-01-1004.

CONCLUSIONS / SUGGESTIONS



PAHs are co-formed and co-released with particles

- The higher the PN, the higher the genotoxicity
- they may penetrate the alveoli, supporting Trojan Horse effect
- Toxic equivalent concentrations are several times higher in GDI (with and without filter) than in diesel with DPF.
- Newest technology (Euro-6) shows the lowest emissions of PN and PAH
- GPF-1 \rightarrow best filter for PN and PAHs // GPF-4 \rightarrow worse filter

GDI should be equipped with filters – May reduce PAH emissions (20-80%) GPF should undergo certification procedures like DPF (VERT) However, BAT filters not yet ready to eliminate genotoxic PAHs

- Efficient coating / Biofuels?





Thank you for your attention

Questions?



Maria Muñoz, Empa 22nd ETH Conference on Combustion Generated Nanoparticles, June 2018







ccem.ch

Materials Science and Technology

Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

Bundesamt für Umwelt BAFU Office fédéral de l'environnement OFEV Ufficio federale dell'ambiente UFAM Uffizi federal d'ambient UFAM