

# Virtual Sensor for Soot Emissions in Heavy Duty Diesel Engines using alternative Fuels

ETH Conference on Combustion Generated Nanoparticles 21.06.2022



virtual sensor technology

www.vir2sense.com



- Introduction / Motivation
- Modelling approach
  - Soot
  - Ignition & Combustion
- Alternative Fuels
- Results
- Summary and Conclusions





# Introduction / Motivation

- Alternative energy carriers offer a beneficial CO2 footprint and show different combustion properties
- An engine setting optimization for alternative fuels offers the potential for a well-to-wheel CO2 reduction, which is as well addressed to the fuels CO2 footprint reduction (well-totank) as due to an increase in engine efficiency (tank-to-wheel)
- The high degree of freedom of operating settings provides the opportunity but also increase the complexity of an optimization and require models for combustion and emission





### Phenomenolocigal Soot Model



Parts:

 Soot formation -f(dQ<sub>diff</sub>/d $\phi$ , p, T, fuel characteristics)

•Balance: formation, oxidation 2

 Soot Oxidation f(reactions kinetics (i.e T and global  $O_2$ ), 3) turbulence (i.e local  $O_2$  / OH))

> Result: EC mass concentration

#### Challenges:

High ratio of exhaust vs max. in cylinder soot concentration causes high sensitivity of errors Spinoff EnHzürich

4



### Combustion Model / Soot Model Input Requirements



#### • Ignition delay model

- Important to separate premixed and mixing controlled combustion portion
- Accuracy difficult due to cross sensitivity of chemical (reaction kinetics, fuel characteristics) and physical (mixing, spray characteristics) processes
- Heat release Model
  - Separate model for premixed and mixing controlled combustion
  - Accurate mixing speed resp. characteristic mixing rate crucial for subsequent emission models





## **Engine Operation and Fuels Tested**

- 4 liter heavy duty single cylinder supercharged common rail research diesel engine
- Engine operating conditions set to cover a wide spread of operating condition variation (in total 60 individual operating points for each fuel)
- Representatives of paraffinic fuels and a representative of oxygenated fuel has been selected
- Fuel blends with various blending ratios to understand nonlinearities in fuel blends





	Diesel	GTL20	GTL	OME15	OME7	HVO20	HVO	R100
Composition	100% Diesel	80% Diesel 20% GTL	100% GTL	85% Diesel 15% OME	93% Diesel 7% OME	80% Diesel 20% HVO	100% HVO	77% HVO 18% OME 5% Alcohol
LHV [MJ/kg]	43.5	43.57	43.8	39.89	41.89	43.6	43.8	38.61
Denstiy [kg/m^3]	827	817.3	778.3	859.9	842.3	817.6	780.2	820.9
CN [-]	56.1	61.1	82.4	<60	<57	61.2	82.8	75.1
Aromatics [wt%]	22.8	18.2	<0.1	19.4	21.2	8.3	<0.2	<0.2
Oxygen [wt%]	0	0	0	8.19	3.83	0	0	>8.19
Well-to-Tank CO2 red. [%]	0	n/a	n/a	9	4	18	90	~88



# Combustion characteristics: Heat Release Rate

### HRR:

- Overall only apparently minor differences
- Changes in Cetane number lead to significantly decreased premixed portion in all cases with high paraffinic fuel content (HVO, GTL, R100)
- Late phase combustion is particularly faster for the oxygenated fuels (OME, R100)





### Combustion characteristics: Characteristic Mixing Rate

### $1/\tau_{mix}$ :

•  $1/\tau_{mix}$  characterizes the diffusion combustion.

 $\frac{1}{\tau_{mix}(t)} = \frac{HRR_{diff}(t)}{Q_{inj} - \int_{SOC}^{t} HRR(t) dt} -$ combustion rate available fuel

 $\tau_{mix} = \tau_{evap} + \tau_{diff} + \tau_{ign}$ 

•  $\tau_{mix}$  is a good proxi for the residence time in the hot combustion zone and therefore important for emission formation









### **Results: Soot with Diesel Parameters**

- All cases have the same engine model, but individual settings for HRR model; soot model constants are taken for diesel
- Results show the pure effect of fuel composition on soot emissions
  - Lower aromatic content reduces emissions
  - Higher oxygenated portion reduces emissions





### **Results: Soot with Tuned Parameters**

- Soot production and oxidation multiplier parameters fitted to each fuel's measurements
  - Variation of soot emission characteristics with different fuels allowed the determination of individual effects of composition on soot formation and oxidation
  - Production term decreases linearly with increasing non-paraffinic content
  - Oxidation term increases quadratically with increasing oxygenate content



## **Soot Model Parameters**

Barro et al. SAE 2014-01-2839







# **Summary & Conclusions**

- Modelled prediction of HRR, NOx and soot very accurate for a wide range of conditions and different fuels:
  - Variable load ( $\lambda$ ), fuel pressure, SOI, EGR, rpm
  - Fuels: combinations of Diesel (0-100%), GTL/HVO (0-100%) and OME (0-20%)
  - Only few parameters required to be adapted to change from one fuel to another
  - Relation between model parameter and fuel composition explored
  - Parameter adaptation inline with fuel composition (i.e. aromatic content / oxygen content)
- Final result is a fully tuned model for combustion, NOx and soot emissions for variable fuel composition, capable of being used for:
  - Off-line tuning of engine parameters
  - Determination of improvement of efficiency using different fuels with adaptive parameter settings
  - Possibility for onboard optimization for different fuel compositions
  - Models can be used as virtual sensors and only require inputs, typically available on the ECU
- Details of full engine optimization can be found on:

https://www.aramis.admin.ch/Default?DocumentID=67587&Load=true





# Acknowledgments

- Scientific contribution
  - Co-Author, Colleagues from ETH, EMPA and combustion and flow solutions
- Financial support
  - Federal office of Energy, Neste
- YOU
  - For your kind attention



virtual sensor technology

Collaborators:

